United States District Court

for the

District of Puerto Rico

United States of America)		
v.)		
)	Case No.	19-121 (GAG)
[14] LUIS ESPINAL-RIVERA)		
Defendant Defendant)		

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Part I - Eligibility for Detention

Upon the

☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or ☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)

defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

 \square Lack of financially responsible sureties

AO 4/2 (Rev. 11/10) Order of Determini Frinding Than
■ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
☑ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
 \(\begin{align*} \text{(2)} \) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b; \(\begin{align*} \text{(3)} \) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☑ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
□ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
☑ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
■ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
☐ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
☐ Prior criminal history
Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
History of violence or use of weapons
☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
Lack of stable employmentLack of stable residence
- Luck of static restactive

Case 3:19-cr-00121-GAG-SCC Document 181 Filed 03/14/19 Page 3 of 3

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
□ Lack of significant community or family ties to this district □ Significant family or other ties outside the United States □ Lack of legal status in the United States □ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration □ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered □ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement □ Use of alias(es) or false documents □ Background information unknown or unverified □ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
 Although the defendant does not have prior convictions, since 2013 he has been arrested 4 times (including the present federal case). The charges include offenses such as kidnapping, aggravated robbery, possession of a firearm without a license, brandishing a firearm, use of a bladed weapon, and domestic violence. According to the government's proffer of the evidence, 4 witnesses have knowledge that the defendant was the owner of
a drug point of marijuana. Three of these witnesses have seen the defendant carrying a firearm as part of his drug dealing activities. One of these 3 witnesses has seen the defendant with a firearm that has an extended magazine attached. The government also proffered that the defendant has been seen in meetings with the leadership of the drug trafficking organization.
Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention
The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the

person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an

s/Marcos E. López United States Magistrate Judge

appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

March 12, 2019

Date: